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**ABSTRACT**

The Faculty Contribution Management System (FCMS) is a comprehensive software solution designed to streamline and enhance the management of faculty contributions within educational institutions. This system aims to facilitate efficient tracking, assessment, and recognition of faculty members' diverse contributions in teaching, research, administration, and community engagement.

Key Features:

Faculty Profile Management:

* Robust profile creation and management system for each faculty member, capturing essential information, qualifications, and expertise.
* Ability for faculty members to update and maintain their profiles, including achievements and ongoing projects.

Contribution Tracking:

* Integration with academic and administrative databases to automatically capture relevant data.

Recognition and Rewards:

* Automated recognition of outstanding contributions, ensuring that faculty members are appropriately acknowledged for their achievements.

Compliance and Reporting:

* Automated generation of reports for internal and external stakeholders, supporting accreditation processes and performance evaluations.

The Faculty Contribution Management System is designed to not only improve the efficiency of administrative processes but also to create a transparent and equitable environment that recognizes and rewards the diverse contributions of faculty members, ultimately enhancing the overall academic quality and reputation of the institution.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Success in life is never attained single handedly. My deepest gratitude goes to my thesis supervisor, Dr. **Akash Rajak** for her guidance, help and encouragement throughout my research work. Their enlightening ideas, comments, and suggestions.

Words are not enough to express my gratitude to Dr. Ajay Kumar Shrivastava, Professor and Head, Department of Computer Applications, for his insightful comments and administrative help at various occasions.

Fortunately, I have many understanding friends, who have helped me a lot on many critical conditions.

Finally, my sincere thanks go to my family members and all those who have directly and indirectly provided me moral support and other kind of help. Without their support, completion of this work would not have been possible in time. They keep my life filled with enjoyment and happiness.

**TUSHAR KUMAR**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Certificate | | | ii |
|  | Abstract | | | ii |
|  | Acknowledgements | | | iii |
|  | Table of Contents | | | iv |
|  | List of Tables | | | vi |
|  | List of Figures | | | vii |
|  |  | | |  |
| 1 | Introduction | | | 1-5 |
|  | 1.1 | Overview | | 1 |
|  | 1.2 | 1.2 | Objectives | 1-2 |
|  |  |  | 1.2.1EfficientTracking of Faculty Contributions | 1 |
|  |  |  | 1.2.2 Performance Evaluation | 1 |
|  |  |  | 1.2.3. Data Accuracy and Transparency | 1 |
|  |  |  | 1.2.4. Resource Allocation | 1 |
|  |  |  | 1.2.5. Promotion and Tenure Evaluation | 2 |
|  | 1.3 | Key Features | | 2-3 |
|  |  | 1.3.1. Profile Management | | 2 |
|  |  | 1.3.2. Document Management | | 2 |
|  |  | 1.3.2. Research Tracking | | 2 |
|  | 1.4 | Hardware/Software used in Project | | 3-5 |
| 2 | Feasibility Study | | | 6-9 |
|  | 2.1 | Key Objectives | | 6 |
|  | 2.2 | Technical Feasibility | | 6-7 |
|  | 2.3 | Operational Feasibility | | 7 |
|  | 2.4 | Behavioral Feasibility | | 7 |
|  | 2.5 | Schedule Feasibility | | 8-9 |
| 3 | Design | | | 12-21 |
|  | 3.1 | Database Tables | | 12-13 |
|  | 3.2 | Flowchart | | 14 |
|  | 3.3 | Use Case Diagram | | 14-16 |
|  | 3.4 | Data Flow Diagram | | 16-21 |
|  | 3.5 | ER-Diagram | | 11 |
|  | 3.6 | Activity Diagram | | 11 |
| 4 | ProjectProcess |  | | 22-30 |
| 5 | Testing |  | | 31-32 |
|  |  | 5.1 Key Objectives | | 31 |
|  |  | 5.2 Testing Methodologies | | 32 |
|  |  | 5.3 Collaborative Testing | | 32 |
|  | Bibliography | | | 33 |
|  | References | | | 34 |

**LIST OF TABLES**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Table No.** | **Name of Table** | **Page** |
| 3.1 | Journal Table | 12 |
| 3.2 | Book Table | 12 |
| 3.3 | Patent Table | 13 |
| 3.4 | FDP Table | 13 |
| 3.5 | Faculty Table | 14 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**LIST OF FIGURES**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Figure No.** | **Name of Figure** | **Page No.** | |
| 1.1 | Home Page | | 2 | |
| 1.2 | Select Role | | 3 | |
| 2.1 | Schedule Feasibility (Gantt Chart) | | 11 | |
| 3.1 | Journal Table | | 13 | |
| 3.2 | Book Table | | 13 | |
| 3.3 | Patent Table | | 14 | |
| 3.4 | FDP Table | | 14 | |
| 3.5 | Faculty Table | | 14 | |
| 3.6 | Flowchart Diagram | | 14 | |
| 3.7 | Use Case Diagram | | 15 | |
| 3.8 | Level 0 DFD | | 17 | |
| 3.9 | Level 1 DFD | | 18 | |
| 3.10 | Level 2 DFD | | 20 | |
| 4.1 | Journal Publication | | 22 | |
| 4.2 | *Book Publication* | | 23 | |
| 4.3 | Patents | | 23 | |
| 4.4 | FDP | | 23 | |
| 4.5 | Reports | | 24 | |
| 4.6 | Journal Publication Report | | 24 | |
| 4.7 | Book Publication Report | | 25 | |
| 4.8 | Patent Report | | 25 | |
| 4.9 | FDP Report | | 26 | |
| 4.10 | Add Faculty | | 27 | |
| 4.11 | Registered Faculty | | 28 | |